UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,055	07/09/2003	Amarpreet S. Sawhney	3516.10US02	9525
	7590 08/07/200 OCIATES, PLLC	EXAMINER		
220 S. 6TH ST.			PAK, JOHN D	
SUITE 2000, U.S. BANK PLAZA MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No. Applicant(s				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/616,055	SAWHNEY, AMARPREET S.			
Examiner-induced interview Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John Pak	1616			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) <u>John Pak</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Curtis Herbert</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>5 August 2009</u>	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed: Copending application, 11/406,791					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance		
/John Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed that the claims of copending 11/406,791 were amended such that they now raise an issue of obviousness type double patenting rejection. Mr. Herbert stated that applicant may be willing to file a terminal disclaimer over said application in order to obtain an allowance in this application. The Examiner stated that an Advisory action to that effect will soon be issued.